# Town Board Minutes The Municipal Review Committee

July 18, 2016

#### Meeting No. 18

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, acting as the Municipal Review Committee, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of July 2016, at 6:15 PM and there were:

**PRESENT**: DAWN GACZEWSKI, COUNCIL MEMBER

RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER
MATTHEW WALTER, COUNCIL MEMBER

JOHANNA COLEMAN, SUPERVISOR

REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ANTHONY GORSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

KRISTIN MCCRACKEN, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
NEIL CONNELLY, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

**ABSENT:** JOHN ABRAHAM, COUNCIL MEMBER

JOSEPH KEEFE, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: DIANE TERRANOVA, TOWN CLERK

KEVIN LOFTUS, TOWN ATTORNEY

MATTHEW FISCHIONE, CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

ROBERT HARRIS, ENGINEER, WM. SCHUTT & ASSOCIATES

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING:**

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for one (1) action.

# IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE

#### **Sealing Devices Plant Expansion**

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form on the Sealing Devices Plant Expansion matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Environmental Assessment" which was provided to each member.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an **unlisted action**, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

#### NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Kevin Loftus, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

# NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 10.9 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 4400 Walden Avenue, Lancaster, New York 14086, Erie County.

This project is described as a two-phase construction project which will complete the buildout of the site. Day 1 construction (first phase) will construct a 25,320-square foot addition to the north side of the existing facility and install a paved access road around the north-northwest side of the facility. Stormwater management practices will be constructed and the existing dry detention pond will be converted to a wet retention pond. Day 2 construction (second phase) will ultimately construct another 18,285-square foot addition to the north of the Day 1 addition. The timeframe for Day 2 construction has not yet been determined. This Environmental Assessment is meant to cover both remaining phases of construction (day 1 and Day 2)

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Sealing Devices Plant Expansion matter identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3) for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project and issue a Negative Declaration.

#### REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The proposed action will not create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations.
- 2. The proposed action will not result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land.
- **3.** The proposed action will not impair the character or quality of the existing community.
- **4.** The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
- **5.** The proposed action will not result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway.
- **6.** The proposed action will not cause an increase in the use of energy or fail to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities.
- **7.** The proposed action will not impact existing public/private water supplies or public/private wastewater treatment utilities.
- **8.** The proposed action will not impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources.
- **9.** The proposed action will not result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora or fauna).
- **10.** The proposed action will not result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems.
- **11.** The proposed action will not create a hazard to environmental resources or human health.

## **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the MRC's recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	WAS ABSENT	
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	WAS AB	SENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

# July 18, 2016

## **ADJOURNMENT:**

ON MOTION OF PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI, AND SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY, FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	WAS AB	SENT
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	WAS AB	SENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The meeting was adjourned at 6:18 P.M.

Signed		
Ü	Diane M. Terranova, Town Cler	·k